## LIE ALGEBRAS: LECTURE 11.

## 1. More on the Cartan decomposition

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra, and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  the associated Cartan decomposition.

(1) If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  then

$$\kappa(h, [x, y]) = \alpha(h)\kappa(x, y).$$

- (2) The roots  $\alpha \in \Phi$  span  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- (3) The subspace  $\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha} = [\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  is one-dimensional and  $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ .
- (4) If  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , and  $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exist  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$  so that the map  $e \mapsto e_{\alpha}$ ,  $f \mapsto f_{\alpha}$  and  $h \mapsto h_{\alpha}$  gives an embedding  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \to \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ . (Here e, f, h denote the standard basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .)

*Proof.* For (1) we have

$$\kappa(h, [x, y]) = \kappa([h, x], y) = \kappa(\alpha(h)x, y) = \alpha(h)\kappa(x, y),$$

as required.

For (2), suppose that  $W=\operatorname{span}\{\Phi\}$ . If W is a proper subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , then we may find an  $h\in\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\alpha(h)=0$  for all  $\alpha\in\Phi$ . But then it follows from our formula for the Killing form in terms of the Cartan decomposition that  $\kappa(h,x)=0$  for all  $x\in\mathfrak{h}$ , which contradicts the nondegeneracy of the form  $\kappa_{|\mathfrak{h}}$ .

For (3), as in the remark above, since  $\kappa_{|\mathfrak{h}}$  is nondegenerate it yields an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}$ , given by  $\lambda \mapsto t_\lambda$  where  $(t_\lambda, h) = \lambda(h)$  for all  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Since we know that  $\Phi$  spans  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , it follows that  $\{t_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi\}$  spans  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ . Then by (1) we see that  $[x,y] = \kappa(x,y)t_\alpha$ , so that  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{t_\alpha\}$ . Since  $\kappa$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  we may find  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $\kappa(x,y) \neq 0$ , hence  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha = \operatorname{span}\{t_\alpha\}$  as required.

Next we wish to show that  $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ . For this note that if  $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}) = 0$  then pick  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  so that  $z = [x,y] \in \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$  is nonzero. Then  $[z,x] = \alpha(z)x = 0 = -\alpha(z)y = [z,y]$ , so that  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{k-span}\{x,y,z\}$  is a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular, by Lie's theorem we may find a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to which the matrices of  $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})$  act by upper triangular matrices, and so ad(z) = ad([x,y]) acts by a strictly upper triangular matrix, and hence is nilpotent. Since we also know  $z \in \mathfrak{h}$  we have ad(z) is semisimple, hence ad(z) is both semisimple and nilpotent, which implies it is zero, contradicting  $z \neq 0$ .

Given  $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ , it is clear that there is a unique  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha}$  such that  $\alpha(h_{\alpha}) = 2$ , indeed  $h_{\alpha} = \frac{2}{\alpha(t_{\alpha})}t_{\alpha}$ . Next if  $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  is nonzero, then using the nondegeneracy of  $\kappa$  and part (1) we may find an  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  so that  $\kappa(e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}) = \frac{2}{\kappa(t_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha})}$ , and hence using part i) we see  $[e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}] = h_{\alpha}$ . It is now easy to check that  $\{e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}\}$  span an copy of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  which establishes (4).

*Remark* 1.2. A triple of elements  $\{e, f, h\}$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  which obey the relations of the standard generators of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  (that is, [e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = 2f) is called an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra with Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . Then

- The root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  are one-dimensional.
- If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $c\alpha \in \Phi$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $c = \pm 1$ .

*Proof.* Choose a nonzero vector  $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . Then as above we may find an element  $e_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$  (since  $\kappa$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  is nondegenerate). Consider the subspace:

$$M=\mathsf{k}.e_\alpha\oplus\mathsf{k}.t_\alpha\oplus\bigoplus_{p<0}\mathfrak{g}_{p\alpha}.$$

(this is a finite direct sum as  $\mathfrak g$  is finite-dimensional). Then since  $\mathrm{ad}(e_\alpha)(e_\alpha)=0$ , and  $[\mathfrak g_\alpha,\mathfrak g_{-\alpha}]=\mathsf k.h_\alpha$ , and  $[e_\alpha,h_\alpha]=2e_\alpha$ , it is easy to see that M is stable under  $e_\alpha,e_{-\alpha}$  and  $h_\alpha$ . We commute the trace of  $h_\alpha$  on M in two ways: on the one hand, it a commutator and so has trace zero. On the other hand it acts semisimply on each of the direct sums defining M, so that

$$0 = \operatorname{tr}(h_{\alpha}) = \alpha(h_{\alpha}) + \sum_{p < 0} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{p\alpha}) \cdot p\alpha(h_{\alpha})$$
$$= \alpha(h_{\alpha})(1 - \sum_{p > 0} p \cdot \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-p\alpha}).$$

Since we know that  $\alpha(h_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ , the only way the above equality can hold is if  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{p\alpha}) = 0$  for p > 1 and  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) = 1$ . Since  $-\alpha \in \Phi$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , this completes the proof.

Remark 1.4. It follows immediately from Proposition 1.1 part iv) and Lemma 1.3 part i) that for any  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . We will denote this subalgebra as  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha}$ . (Note  $\mathfrak{sl}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{sl}_{\beta}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \pm \beta$ .)

We can refine somewhat the structure of the Cartan decomposition we have already obtained, using the same techniques. Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta$  are two roots in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\beta \neq k\alpha$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we may consider the roots which have the form  $\alpha + k\beta$ . Clearly, since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite dimensional, there are integers p,q>0 such that  $\alpha + k\beta \in \Phi$  for each k with  $-p \leq k \leq q$ , but neither  $-(p+1)\alpha$  nor  $(q+1)\alpha$  are not in  $\Phi$ . This set of roots is called the  $\alpha$ -string through  $\beta$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $\beta - p\alpha, \dots, \beta + q\alpha$  be the  $\alpha$ -string through  $\beta$ . Then we have

$$\beta(h_{\alpha}) = \kappa(h_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}) = \frac{2\kappa(t_{\alpha}, t_{\beta})}{\kappa(t_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha})} = p - q.$$

In particular  $\beta - \beta(h_{\alpha}).\alpha \in \Phi$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $c \in k$  has  $c\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $c \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.* We consider the subspace  $M=\bigoplus_{-p\leq k\leq q}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+k\beta}$ . Pick  $e_{\alpha}\in\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{-\alpha}\in\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $0\neq [e_{\alpha},e_{-\alpha}]=h_{\alpha}$  and so that  $\{e_{\alpha},e_{-\alpha},h_{\alpha}\}$  form the standard

generators of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  as above. It is clear that  $e_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}$  preserve M, so we  $\operatorname{tr}_{|M}(h_{\alpha}) = 0$ , and so, using the fact root spaces are 1-dimensional, we have the identity:

$$\sum_{-p \le k \le q} (\beta + k\alpha)(h_{\alpha}) = 0,$$

and so

$$(q(q+1)/2 - p(p+1)/2)\alpha(h_{\alpha}) + (p+q+1)\beta(h_{\alpha}) = 0,$$

and so since  $p + q + 1 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha(h_{\alpha}) = 2$ , we obtain:

$$\beta(h_{\alpha}) = p - q.$$

as required. Since  $\beta+(p-q)\alpha$  is certainly in the  $\alpha$ -string through  $\beta$  it follows that  $\beta+\beta(h_{\alpha}).\alpha\in\Phi.$ 

For the second part, since we know from the previous lemma that if  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $c \in \{\pm 1\}$ , it suffices to consider the case where  $c \in \mathsf{k} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . But then we may apply the first part of the lemma to  $\beta = c\alpha$  to find that  $2c = c.\alpha(h_\alpha) = p - q$ , that is,  $c = \frac{1}{2}(p-q)$ . Since  $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , the difference p-q must be odd, and the  $\alpha$ -string through  $\beta = \frac{(p-q)}{2}\alpha$  has the form:

$$\frac{-(p+q)}{2}\alpha,\ldots,\frac{(p-q)}{2}\alpha,\ldots,\frac{(p+q)}{2}\alpha,$$

which clearly then contains  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$  so that  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \in \Phi$ . But then we get a contradiction as  $\alpha = 2(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$ .

Remark 1.6. In fact, if  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  and  $\alpha+\beta \in \Phi$ , then  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}]=\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ . Indeed let  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  act on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the triple  $\{e_{\alpha},h_{\alpha},e_{-\alpha}\}$  as in the proof above. Then the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation  $M=\bigoplus_{-p\leq k\leq q}\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+k\beta}$  is easily seen to be an irreducible representation (because each  $h_{\alpha}$  weight space is one-dimensional and the eigenvalues all have the same parity as  $\beta(h_{\alpha})$ . Then the explicit description of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  worked out in the problem sheet shows that  $e_{\alpha}$  is injective except on the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+q\alpha}$ , and so in particular on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$ , hence  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}]$  is nonzero and hence all of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .