

The weight part of Serre's conjecture

## Introduction

$$f \in S_k(N, \chi) \quad \chi: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

normalised Hecke eigenform

$E = E_f \subset \mathbb{C}$  number field generated by Hecke eigenvalues of  $f$ .

$\lambda | \ell$  prime of  $E$ .

$$\rightarrow \rho_{f, \lambda}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) =: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E_\lambda)$$

(abs. irred.)  $\otimes$  unramified at  $p \nmid N\ell$ ,

$$\det(X - \rho_f(\text{Frob}_p)) = X^2 - a_p(f)X + \chi(p)p^{k-1}$$

comparative argument:  $\rho_{f, \lambda}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$  stabilizes an  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ -lattice in  $E_\lambda^2$

$\rightarrow$  reduce mod  $\lambda$  to get  $\overline{\rho}_{f, \lambda}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$   
 $k(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  and semi-simplicity.

" $\chi \pmod{\ell}$ " characterises  $\overline{\rho}_{f,\chi}$  in terms  
of  $\overline{\alpha_p(f)} \in \mathcal{O}_E/\lambda$ .

" $\chi \pmod{\ell}$  modular form" can be defined  
as "reductions  $\pmod{\ell}$ " of char 0  
mod forms.

Questions about  $f \rightsquigarrow \overline{\rho}_{f,\chi}$

① Which  $\overline{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell})$   
do we obtain? i.e. which  
 $\overline{\rho}$  are modular?

②  $\overline{\rho}$  modular. Can we describe the  
possible values of  $(k, N, \chi)$  such that  
 $\overline{\rho} \cong \overline{\rho}_{f,\chi}$  for  $f \in S_k(N, \chi)$ .

① Necessary conditions:

⊛  $\bar{\rho}$  unramified away from finitely many primes.

⊛  $\chi(-1)(-1)^k = 1 \Rightarrow \det \bar{\rho}(\alpha \text{ conj}) = -1$  "# odd"

"weak" form of Serre's conjecture:

these conditions are sufficient.

Thm of Khare-Wintenberger.

Examples: a)  $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$   $k < 12$

+ Serre's conjecture:  $\Rightarrow$

no irreducible cns.  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_l})$

unramified outside  $l$  for  $l < 11$ .

Serre-Tate verified for  $l=2,3$ .

b)  $\Delta \in S_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) \rightsquigarrow \bar{\rho}_{\Delta,11} \cong \bar{\rho}_{\chi_{0(11)},11}$

$\Delta \equiv F \pmod{11}$ ,  $\langle F \rangle = S_2(\Gamma_0(11))$ .

In general can find congruences mod  $l$  to  
 wt. two modular forms with a power of  
 $l$  in the level.

---

② Question: fix  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_l)$ , modular  
 what is the minimal weight  $k$  such that  
 $\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\rho}_{f,d}$  for  $f \in S_k(N, \chi)$  with  $l \nmid N$ .

Minimal value of  $k =: k(\bar{\rho})$ .

Weight part of Serre's conj answers this  
 question.

Idea:  $k(\bar{\rho})$  only depends on  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{inertia subgroup} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l/\mathbb{Q}_l) \end{matrix}$

example:  $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_{\text{cyc}}^a & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad 1 \leq a \leq l-1$

Assume  $\bar{\rho}|_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  non-trivial

Then  $k(\bar{\rho}) = \begin{cases} 1+a & \text{otherwise} \\ \ell+1 & \text{if } a=1 \text{ and } \bar{\rho} \text{ is not } \ell\text{-finite} \end{cases}$

e.g.  $\bar{\rho}_{\Delta,11} \cong \bar{\rho}_{\chi_0(11),11}$   $k(\bar{\rho})=12$ .

Weight one.  $f \in S_1(N, \chi)$

Deligne-Serre  $\rightarrow \exists \rho_f: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$   
 unramified at  $p \nmid N$   
 "condition  $(*)$ ".  
 $\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$   
 $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_f)$

Can still reduce mod  $\ell \rightsquigarrow \bar{\rho}_{f,\ell}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell)$   
 if  $\ell \nmid N$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\ell}$  is unramified at  $\ell$ .

So if  $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$  is unramified  
when restricted to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ , might want  
to predict  $k(\bar{\rho}) = 1$ .

Turns out that this is not the right  
prediction.

example (Buzzard)  $l=199$ ,  $\exists \bar{\rho}$  unramified  
outside  $2, 41$  which doesn't  
come from  $f \in S_1(82, \chi)$ .

Issue is we're thinking of mod  $l$   
modular forms as reductions of the usual  
modular forms.

To handle weight 1, need more intrinsic  
definition of a mod  $l$  modular form.

$\lfloor N \geq 5$   
 Geometric interpretation of modular forms:

$$S_k(N, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(X_1(N)_{\mathbb{C}}, \omega^{\otimes k}(-c))$$

$\uparrow$  level  $\Gamma_1(N)$ 
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$  cusps

extend  $X_1(N)_{\mathbb{C}}$  to a smooth relative curve  $X_1(N)/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$

If  $p \nmid N$ , can define

$$S_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = H^0(X_1(N)_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \omega^{\otimes k}(-c))$$

$\uparrow$   $\mathbb{Z}_p$   
 $\uparrow$   $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Understanding "reduction mod  $p$ " map:

$$S_k(N, \mathbb{Z}_p) / pS_k(N, \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\pi} S_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

$\pi$  is surjective if  $k \geq 2$

$\pi$  is not in general surjective if  $k=1$ .

Idea: Consider LES from the SES of sheaves on  $X_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ :  $\left( i = X_1(N) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_p} X_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \right)$

$$0 \rightarrow \omega^{\otimes k}(-c) \xrightarrow{x^p} \omega^{\otimes k}(-c) \rightarrow i_* \omega^{\otimes k}(-c) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \cong \\ S_k(N, \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array} \xrightarrow{x^p} S_k(N, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow S_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \boxed{H^1(X_{\mathbb{Z}_p}, \omega^{\otimes k}(-c))[\mathbb{F}_p]} \rightarrow 0$$

---

$\pi$   
use Serre duality.  
vanishes if  $k \geq 2$ .

---

Remark: possible to side-step these delicate issues with weight 1:

Multiplication by Hasse invariant relates weight 1 and weight  $p$  mod  $p$  modular forms.

So we can formulate a version of the weight part of Serre's conjecture where the weight is always assumed to be  $\geq 2$ .