Feynman periods - on graphs, integrals, polytopes and tropical physics

Erik Panzer

All Souls College (Oxford)

18th June 2019
Felix Klein Kolloquium, TU Kaiserslautern

Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



Perturbative Quantum Field Theory



- Feynman graph $G \mapsto$ Feynman integral $\Phi(G, \{m_i^2, \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{p}_j\})$
- compute more graphs $\sum_G \Phi(G) \Rightarrow$ higher precision



not spanning　　not connected　　has a loop

Definition

A spanning tree $T \subset G$ is a spanning, simply connected subgraph.



Definition

The graph polynomial Ψ and Feynman period of G are

$$\Psi = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ST}(G)} \prod_{e \notin T} x_e \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{P}(G) = \left(\prod_{e>1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x_e \right) \frac{1}{\Psi^2|_{x_1=1}}$$

$G =$  $\Rightarrow \quad \Psi = x_1 + x_2$ and $\mathcal{P}\Big($  $\Big) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2}{(1+x_2)^2} = 1$

Definition

The graph polynomial Ψ and Feynman period of G are

$$\Psi = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{ST}(G)} \prod_{e \notin T} x_e \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{P}(G) = \left(\prod_{e>1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x_e \right) \frac{1}{\Psi^2|_{x_1=1}}$$

$G =$  $\Rightarrow \quad \Psi = x_1 + x_2 \quad$ and $\quad \mathcal{P}\Big($  $\Big) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2}{(1+x_2)^2} = 1$

Assumptions:

1. logarithmic divergence: $\omega(G) := |E(G)| - 2 \cdot \ell(G) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$

$$\ell(G) = h_1(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1 \quad (\text{loop number})$$

2. no subdivergences: $\omega(\gamma) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \emptyset \neq \gamma \subsetneq G$

All such periods contribute to the β-function of the field theory.

$\Rightarrow$ *renormalization constants, running coupling, critical exponents*

- These are periods in the sense of Kontsevich and Zagier
  $\Rightarrow$ interesting transcendental numbers, motivic Galois theory

Example

$\mathcal{P}\Big($  $\Big)$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^5} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}x_3\mathrm{d}x_4\mathrm{d}x_5\mathrm{d}x_6}{(x_1x_2x_3 + 15 \text{ more terms})^2} = 6\zeta(3) = 6\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^3}$$

- Sometimes expressible as multiple zeta values

$$\zeta(s_1,\ldots,s_d) = \sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_d} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\cdots n_d^{s_d}}$$

Example (Broadhurst & Schnetz)

$\mathcal{P}\Big($  $\Big)$

$$= \frac{92943}{160}\zeta(11) + 896\zeta(3)\left(\frac{27}{80}\zeta(3,5) + \frac{45}{64}\zeta(3)\zeta(5) - \frac{261}{320}\zeta(8)\right) + \frac{3381}{20}\left(\zeta(3,5,3) - \zeta(3,5)\zeta(3)\right) - \frac{1155}{4}\zeta(3)^2\zeta(5)$$

- These integrals are very hard to compute (even numerically).

Only two infinite families of periods are known: wheels and zigzags



Theorem (Broadhurst 1985)

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{W}_n) = \binom{2n-2}{n-1}\zeta(2n-3)$$



Theorem (Brown & Schnetz 2012)

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{ZZ}_n) = 4\frac{(2n-2)!}{n!(n-1)!}\left(1 - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2^{2n-3}}\right)\zeta(2n-3)$$

$>$ 1000 more periods are known [Broadhurst, Schnetz, Panzer]

When is $\mathcal{P}(G_1) = \mathcal{P}(G_2)$?

1. Product:



Example



$= (6\zeta(3))^2$

2. Planar duality: $\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(G^{\text{dual}})$



3. Completion: If G is 4-regular and v, w are vertices G, then

$$\mathcal{P}(G \setminus v) = \mathcal{P}(G \setminus w)$$

Example



4. Twist:



[drawing by Crump]

Goal:

Construct simpler graph invariants with those symmetries.

$$c_2(G)(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left| \left\{ \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^N : \ \Psi(\vec{x}) = 0 \right\} \right|$$

$P_{7,11}$

p	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23
$c_2(p)$	1	0	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
Perm(p)		0	1	1	1	11	5	0	22

Goal:

Construct simpler graph invariants with those symmetries.

$$c_2(G)(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left| \left\{ \vec{x} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^N : \ \Psi(\vec{x}) = 0 \right\} \right|$$

$P_{7,11}$

p	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23
$c_2(p)$	1	0	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
Perm(p)		0	1	1	1	11	5	0	22

	product	duality	completion	twist	
c_2 [Schnetz]	yes	yes [Doryn]	for $p=2$ [Yeats, Doryn]	open	few values, sees number theory
permanent [Crump]	yes	yes	yes	yes	almost faithful
$\mathcal{H}$	yes	yes	yes	yes	faithful (conj.), sees magnitude

Hepp bound

$$\mathcal{H}(G) := \left(\prod_{e>1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x_e\right) \frac{1}{\Psi^2_{\max}\big|_{x_1=1}} \quad \text{where} \quad \Psi_{\max} := \max_{T \in \mathrm{ST}\,G} \prod_{e \notin T} x_e$$

Example

$$\mathcal{H}\Bigg($$



$$\Bigg) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{(\max\{x_1, 1\})^2} = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x_1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{x_1^2} = 2$$

- $\mathcal{H}(G) > \mathcal{P}(G) > \mathcal{H}(G)/\left|\mathrm{ST}(G)\right|^2$
- fulfils the four symmetries
- $\mathcal{H}(G) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$
- can be computed very efficiently

Theorem

$$\mathcal{H}(G) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \subset \gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \gamma_{\ell(G)} = G \\ \text{each } \gamma_i \text{ is 1PI}}} \frac{|\gamma_1| \cdot |\gamma_2 \setminus \gamma_1| \cdots \left| G \setminus \gamma_{\ell(G)-1} \right|}{\omega(\gamma_1) \cdots \omega(\gamma_{\ell(G)-1})}$$

γ_1	$\subset$	γ_2	summand	#	$\sum$
	$\subset$		$\frac{3\cdot 2\cdot 1}{1\cdot 1} = 6$	12	72
	$\subset$		$\frac{4\cdot 1\cdot 1}{2\cdot 1} = 2$	6	12

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}\Big($  $\Big) = 84$

Hepp-Period correlation



Conjecture

$$\mathcal{H}(G_1) = \mathcal{H}(G_2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}(G_1) = \mathcal{P}(G_2)$$

Conjecture

$$\mathcal{H}(G_1) = \mathcal{H}(G_2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}(G_1) = \mathcal{P}(G_2)$$

For example, we find a pair of unknown 8 loop periods with:

- $\mathcal{H}(P_{8,30}) = \frac{1724488}{3} = \mathcal{H}(P_{8,36})$
- $\mathcal{P}(P_{8,30}) \approx 505.5 \approx \mathcal{P}(P_{8,36})$

$\mathcal{P}$ () $=$ $\mathcal{P}$ () ?

The Hepp bound is the volume of a polytope

Spanning tree polytope:

$$\mathcal{N}_G := \operatorname{conv}\left\{\vec{T} - \vec{T}^c \colon\ T \text{ spanning tree of } G\right\} \subset \left\{\vec{G}\cdot\vec{a} = 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{E_G}$$

Facets of $\mathcal{N}_G$ are indexed by certain subgraphs:

$$A_G := \{\gamma \subset G \colon\ \gamma \text{ and } G/\gamma \text{ are 2-vertex connected}\}$$

Factorisation of the facets:

$$F_\gamma := \mathcal{N}_G \cap \{\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{a} = \omega_\gamma\} \cong \mathcal{N}_\gamma \times \mathcal{N}_{G/\gamma}$$

Lemma

$$\mathcal{H}(G) = (E_G - 1)! \cdot \operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathcal{N}_G^\circ\right)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_G^\circ = \bigcap_{T \text{ spanning tree of } G} \left\{\vec{a} \colon\ \vec{a}\cdot(\vec{T} - \vec{T}^c) \leq 1\right\}$$

Multivariate version & canonical form

Now consider arbitrary indices:

$$\mathcal{H}(G;\vec{\nu}) := \int \frac{\delta(1-x_i)\mathrm{d}^E\vec{x}}{\Psi_{\max}^{D/2}} \prod_e x_e^{\nu_e-1}$$

The dimension is fixed by $\omega(G) = \sum_e \nu_e - (D/2)\cdot\ell(G) \overset{!}{=} 0$.

Example

The flag formula generalizes to this case, e.g.

$\mathcal{H}\Bigg($ 

$4;\vec{\nu}\Bigg)$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4} \times \left\{ \frac{(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)\nu_4}{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3-D/2} + \frac{(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_4)\nu_3}{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_4-D/2} + \frac{(\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}{\nu_3+\nu_4-D/2} \right\}$$

Consider the Hepp bound $\mathcal{H}(G;\vec{\nu})$:

- it is a rational function in $\vec{\nu}$
- it has simple poles
- at hyperplanes $\omega(\gamma)=0$ for 1PI subgraphs γ

Lemma (factorization of residues)

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\omega(\gamma)=0}\,\mathcal{H}(G;\vec{\nu}) = \mathcal{H}(\gamma;\vec{\nu}_\gamma)\Big|_{\omega(\gamma)=0}\cdot\mathcal{H}(G/\gamma;\vec{\nu}_{G/\gamma})\Big|_{\omega(G/\gamma)=0}$$

Example

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\nu_e=0}\,\mathcal{H}(G;\vec{\nu}) = \mathcal{H}(G/e;\vec{\nu}_{G/e})$$

- it is the volume of a polytope:

$$\mathcal{H}(G;\vec{\nu}) = (E-1)!\cdot\mathrm{Vol}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_G+(\vec{\nu}-\vec{1})\right)^{\circ}\right)$$

Summary

- There is a rational version of Feynman periods.
- It captures identities and gives numeric estimates.
- Relates to a polytope and a function with factorizing residues.
- Generalizes to matroids.

Some questions

- add kinematics
- study divergences
- Can this be turned into an approximation scheme?

Thanks

Thank you for your attention!