

On the Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem in positive characteristic

Richard PINK* and Damian RÖSSLER†

with an appendix by B. Köck

January 24, 2011

Abstract

Let $p > 0$ be a prime number. We give a short proof of the Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem for the p -th Adams operation, when the involved schemes live in characteristic p . We also answer a question of B. Köck.

1 Introduction

Let Y be a scheme. Suppose that Y is quasi-compact and is endowed with an ample line bundle. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective local complete intersection morphism of schemes. Let E be a coherent locally free sheaf on X and let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number. The Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem asserts that the equality

$$\psi^k(\mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(E)) = \mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(\theta^k(L_f)^{-1} \otimes \psi^k(E)) \quad (1)$$

holds in $K_0(Y)[\frac{1}{k}] := K_0(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]$.

The various symbols appearing in this formula are defined as follows.

The Grothendieck group of locally free coherent sheaves on a scheme Z is denoted by $K_0(Z)$.

*Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, SWITZERLAND

†Département de Mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, FRANCE

The map $R^\bullet f_* : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(Y)$ refers to the unique group morphism $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(Y)$, which sends the class of a locally free coherent sheaf E on X to the class of the strictly perfect complex $R^\bullet f_* E$ in $K_0(Y)$ (see [5, IV, 2.12]).

To define the symbol ψ^k , recall that the tensor product of \mathcal{O}_Z -modules makes the group $K_0(Z)$ into a commutative unitary ring and that the inverse image of coherent sheaves under any morphism of schemes $Z' \rightarrow Z$ induces a morphism of unitary rings $K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(Z')$. The symbol ψ^k refers to an operation associating a ring endomorphism of $K_0(Z)$ to any quasi-compact scheme Z . It is uniquely determined by the properties:

(i) for any invertible sheaf L on Z we have

$$\psi^k(L) = L^{\otimes k},$$

(ii) for any morphism of quasi-compact schemes $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$, we have

$$g^* \circ \psi^k = \psi^k \circ g^*.$$

The symbol θ^k refers to an operation associating an element of $K_0(Z)$ to any locally free coherent sheaf on a quasi-compact scheme Z . It is uniquely determined by the properties:

(i) for any invertible sheaf L on a quasi-compact scheme Z we have

$$\theta^k(L) = 1 + L + \cdots + L^{k-1},$$

(ii) for any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ of locally free coherent sheaves on a quasi-compact scheme Z we have

$$\theta^k(E) = \theta^k(E') \otimes \theta^k(E''),$$

(iii) for any morphism of quasi-compact schemes $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$ and any locally free coherent sheaf E on Z we have

$$g^*(\theta^k(E)) = \theta^k(g^*(E)).$$

If E is a locally free coherent sheaf on a quasi-compact scheme Z , then the element $\theta^k(E)$ is often called the k -th Bott element. If Z is quasi-compact and

carries an ample line bundle, it is known that $\theta^k(E)$ is invertible in $K_0(Z)_{[\frac{1}{k}]}$ for every locally free coherent sheaf E on Z (see Lemma 3.3 below). In the latter situation θ^k extends to a unique map $K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(Z)_{[\frac{1}{k}]}$ satisfying

$$\theta^k(E) = \theta^k(E') \cdot \theta^k(E'')$$

whenever $E = E' + E''$ in $K_0(Z)$.

The symbol L_f refers to the cotangent complex of the morphism f , which a strictly perfect complex on X (under the running assumptions on f). Here L_f is identified with its class in $K_0(X)$.

This explains all the ingredients of the formula (1).

The calculations made in [5, VIII] imply that the equality (1) is valid in $K_0(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The method of [5, VIII] involves factoring f into a closed immersion and a projection from a relative projective space. After the introduction of the deformation to the normal cone technique (see [1]), it became clear that the equality (1) is also valid in $K_0(Y)_{[\frac{1}{k}]}$, as stated above. In the situation where Y is also supposed to be of finite type over a noetherian ring, a proof can be found in [4, V, par. 7, Th. 7.6]. Nevertheless, no complete proof of the equality (1) can be found in the literature.

Our aim in this text is to provide a new and more direct proof of the formula (1) in the specific situation where the following supplementary hypotheses are made: k is a prime number p , f is smooth and Y is a scheme of characteristic p .

The search for this proof was motivated by the fact that for any quasi-compact scheme Z of characteristic p , the endomorphism $\psi^p : K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(Z)$ coincides with the endomorphism $F_Z^* : K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(Z)$ induced by pullback by the absolute Frobenius endomorphism $F_Z : Z \rightarrow Z$. This is a consequence of the splitting principle [5, VI]. We asked ourselves whether in this case $\theta^p(L_f) = \theta^p(\Omega_f)$ can also be represented by an explicit virtual bundle. If such a representative were available, one might try to give a direct proof of (1) that does not involve factorisation. The proof given in Section 3 shows that this is indeed possible.

In the article [6, sec. 5] by B. Köck, a different line of speculation led to a question (Question 5.2) in the context of a characteristic p interpretation of the Adams-Riemann-Roch formula. See the Appendix for details. Our Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.2 show that the answer to this question is positive.

The Adams-Riemann-Roch formula (1) formally implies the Hirzebruch-Riemann-

Roch theorem for a smooth projective variety over a field. This is explained for instance in [9, Intro.]. On the other hand, a specialization argument shows that the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem for varieties over any field follows from the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem for varieties over finite fields. Thus by reduction modulo primes our proof of (1) in positive characteristic leads to a proof of the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula in general.

The structure of the article is the following. In Section 2, we construct a canonical bundle representative for the element $\theta^p(E)$ for any locally free coherent sheaf E on a quasi-compact scheme of characteristic p . In Section 3, we give the computation proving (1) with the following supplementary assumptions : $k = p$, f is smooth and Y is a scheme of characteristic p .

Acknowledgments. The second author thanks Reinhold Hübl for explanations on the relative Frobenius morphism and for providing a key argument in the proof of Proposition 3.2. He also thanks B. Kck for encouraging him to drop some restrictive hypotheses made in earlier versions of this text. Both authors thank the referee for their work and for their suggestions.

2 A bundle representative for $\theta^p(E)$

Let p be a prime number and Z a scheme of characteristic p . Let E be a locally free coherent sheaf on Z . For any integer $k \geq 0$ let $\text{Sym}^k(E)$ denote the k -th symmetric power of E . Then

$$\text{Sym}(E) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}^k(E)$$

is a quasi-coherent graded \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra, called the symmetric algebra of E . Let \mathcal{J}_E denote the graded sheaf of ideals of $\text{Sym}(E)$ that is locally generated by the sections e^p of $\text{Sym}^p(E)$ for all sections e of E , and set

$$\tau(E) := \text{Sym}(E)/\mathcal{J}_E.$$

Locally this construction means the following. Consider an open subset $U \subset Z$ such that $E|_U$ is free, and choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_r . Then $\text{Sym}(E)|_U$ is the polynomial algebra over \mathcal{O}_Z in the variables e_1, \dots, e_r . Since Z has characteristic p , for any open subset $V \subset U$ and any sections $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathcal{O}_Z(V)$ we have

$$(a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_r e_r)^p = a_1^p e_1^p + \dots + a_r^p e_r^p.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{J}_E|U$ is the sheaf of ideals of $\text{Sym}(E)|U$ that is generated by e_1^p, \dots, e_r^p . Clearly that description is independent of the choice of basis and compatible with localization; hence it can be used as an equivalent definition of \mathcal{J}_E and $\tau(E)$.

The local description also implies that $\tau(E)|U$ is free over $\mathcal{O}_Z|U$ with basis the images of the monomials $e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_r^{i_r}$ for all choices of exponents $0 \leq i_j < p$. From this we deduce:

Lemma 2.1. *If E is a locally free coherent sheaf of rank r , then $\tau(E)$ is a locally free coherent sheaf of rank p^r .*

Now we go through the different properties that characterize the operation θ^p .

Lemma 2.2. *For any invertible sheaf L on Z we have*

$$\tau(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z \oplus L \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{\otimes(p-1)}.$$

Proof. In this case the local description shows that \mathcal{J}_L is the sheaf of ideals of $\text{Sym}(L)$ that is generated by $\text{Sym}^p(L) = L^{\otimes p}$. The lemma follows at once. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For any morphism of schemes $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$ and any locally free coherent sheaf E on Z we have*

$$g^*(\tau(E)) \cong \tau(g^*(E)).$$

Proof. Direct consequence of the construction. \square

Lemma 2.4. *For any two locally free coherent sheaves E' and E'' on Z we have*

$$\tau(E' \oplus E'') \cong \tau(E') \otimes \tau(E'').$$

Proof. The homomorphism of sheaves

$$E' \oplus E'' \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(E') \otimes \text{Sym}(E''), (e', e'') \mapsto e' \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e''$$

induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}(E' \oplus E'') \rightarrow \text{Sym}(E') \otimes \text{Sym}(E'').$$

The local description as polynomial rings in terms of bases of $E'|U$ and $E''|U$ shows that this is an isomorphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_Z -algebras. Since

$$(e' \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e'')^p = e'^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e''^p$$

for any local sections e' of E' and e'' of E'' , this isomorphism induces an isomorphism of sheaves of ideals

$$\mathcal{J}_{E' \oplus E''} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{E'} \otimes \text{Sym}(E'') \oplus \text{Sym}(E') \otimes \mathcal{J}_{E''}.$$

The lemma follows from this by taking quotients. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ of locally free coherent sheaves on a quasi-compact scheme Z we have*

$$\tau(E) = \tau(E') \otimes \tau(E'')$$

in $K_0(Z)$.

Proof. Let \tilde{E}' and \tilde{E}'' denote the inverse images of E' and E'' under the projection morphism $Z \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow Z$. Then there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}' \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{E}'' \rightarrow 0$$

of locally free coherent sheaves on $Z \times \mathbf{P}^1$ whose restriction to the fiber above $0 \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is the given one and whose restriction to the fiber above $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is split (the construction is given in [2, I, Par. f]). Thus the respective restrictions satisfy $\tilde{E}_0 \cong E$ and $\tilde{E}_\infty \cong E' \oplus E''$. Using Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4 this implies that

$$\tau(E) \cong \tau(\tilde{E}_0) \cong \tau(\tilde{E})_0$$

and

$$\tau(E') \otimes \tau(E'') \cong \tau(E' \oplus E'') \cong \tau(\tilde{E}_\infty) \cong \tau(\tilde{E})_\infty.$$

But the fact that $K_0(Z \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ is generated by the powers of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $K_0(Z)$ (see [5, VI, Th.1.1]) implies that the restriction to 0 and ∞ induce the same map $K_0(Z \times \mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow K_0(Z)$. Thus it follows that $\tau(\tilde{E})_0 = \tau(\tilde{E})_\infty$ in $K_0(Z)$, whence the lemma. \square

Remark. Lemma 2.5 can also be proved by an explicit calculation of sheaves. For a sketch consider the decreasing filtration of $\text{Sym}(E)$ by the graded ideals

$\mathrm{Sym}^i(E') \cdot \mathrm{Sym}(E)$ for all $i \geq 0$. One first shows that the associated bi-graded algebra is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}(E') \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(E'')$. The filtration of $\mathrm{Sym}(E)$ also induces a filtration of $\tau(E)$ by graded ideals, whose associated bi-graded algebra is therefore a quotient to $\mathrm{Sym}(E') \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(E'')$. To prove that this quotient is isomorphic to $\tau(E') \otimes \tau(E'')$ one shows that the kernel of the quotient morphism $\mathrm{Sym}(E') \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(E'') \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(\tau(E))$ is precisely $\mathcal{J}_{E'} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(E'') \oplus \mathrm{Sym}(E') \otimes \mathcal{J}_{E''}$. But this is a purely local assertion, for which one can assume that the exact sequence splits. The calculation then becomes straightforward, as in Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 2.6. *For any locally free coherent sheaf E on a quasi-compact scheme Z we have $\tau(E) = \theta^p(E)$ in $K_0(Z)$.*

Proof. Combination of Lemmata 2.2, 2.3, 2.5 and the defining properties (i), (ii), (iii) of $\theta^p(\cdot)$ in Section 1. \square

3 Proof of the Adams-Riemann-Roch formula

Let us now consider the morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of the introduction. Recall that we supposed that f is a projective local complete intersection morphism and that Y is quasi-compact and endowed with an ample line bundle. We now make the supplementary hypothesis that f is smooth and that Y is a scheme of characteristic $p > 0$. Let r be the rank of Ω_f . This is a locally constant function on X .

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F_X & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{F} & X' & \xrightarrow{J} & X \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\
 & & Y & \xrightarrow{F_Y} & Y
 \end{array}$$

where F_X and F_Y are the respective absolute Frobenius morphisms and the square is cartesian. The morphism $F = F_{X/X'}$ is called the relative Frobenius morphism of X over Y . The following lemma summarizes the properties of F that we shall need.

Lemma 3.1. *The morphism F is finite and flat of constant degree p^r .*

For lack of a more complete reference, see [3, 1.1, p. 249]. Let I denote the kernel of the natural morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, which by construction is a sheaf of ideals of $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Let

$$\mathrm{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} I^k / I^{k+1}$$

denote the associated graded sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras.

Proposition 3.2.¹

(a) *There is a natural morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules*

$$I/I^2 \cong \Omega_f.$$

(b) *There is a natural morphism of graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras*

$$\tau(I/I^2) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Since F is affine (see Lemma 3.1), there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Spec} F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong X \times_{X'} X,$$

for which the natural morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ corresponds to the diagonal embedding $X \hookrightarrow X \times_{X'} X$. We carry out these identifications throughout the remainder of this proof. Then I is the sheaf of ideals of the diagonal, and so I/I^2 is naturally isomorphic to the relative sheaf of differentials Ω_F . On the other hand we have $F^*\Omega_{f'} = F^*J^*\Omega_f = F_X^*\Omega_f$, which yields a natural exact sequence

$$F_X^*\Omega_f \rightarrow \Omega_f \rightarrow \Omega_F \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the leftmost arrow sends any differential dx to $d(x^p) = p \cdot x^{p-1} \cdot dx = 0$. Thus the exact sequence yields an isomorphism $\Omega_f \cong \Omega_F \cong I/I^2$, proving the first assertion.

¹The special case of Proposition 3.2 where Y is assumed to be a field can be found in an unpublished text by M. Rost (see Lemma 2, p. 5 in the text *Frobenius, K-theory, and characteristic numbers*, available at the web address <http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/frobenius.html>), who attributes it to P. Deligne. The authors discovered Proposition 3.2 independently.

For the second assertion observe that, by the universal property of the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(\cdot)$, the embedding $I/I^2 \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ extends to a unique morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras

$$\rho : \text{Sym}(I/I^2) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X).$$

We want to compare the kernel of ρ with \mathcal{J}_{I/I^2} . For this recall that I , as the sheaf of ideals of the diagonal, is generated by the sections $s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s$ for all local sections s of \mathcal{O}_X . The p -th power of any such section is

$$(s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s)^p = s^p \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes s^p = 0$$

in $F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$, because $s^p = F_X^*s$ is the pullback via F_X of a section of \mathcal{O}_X and hence also the pullback via F of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Thus ρ sends the p -th powers of certain local generators of I/I^2 to zero. But in Section 2 we have seen that \mathcal{J}_{I/I^2} is locally generated by the p -th powers of any local generators of I/I^2 . Therefore $\rho(\mathcal{J}_{I/I^2}) = 0$, and so ρ factors through a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras

$$\bar{\rho} : \tau(I/I^2) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X).$$

From the definition of $\text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ we see that ρ and hence $\bar{\rho}$ is surjective.

On the other hand the smoothness assumption on f implies that $I/I^2 \cong \Omega_f$ is locally free of rank r . Thus Lemma 2.1 shows that $\tau(I/I^2)$ is locally free of rank p^r .

We shall now prove² that $\text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ is locally free of the same rank as $\tau(I/I^2)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. By Nakayama's lemma, this will imply that $\bar{\rho}$ is an isomorphism, thus proving (b).

Let $x \in X$ and let $x' = F(x)$. A local computation shows that $\mathcal{O}_x \simeq X \times_{X'} \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{x'}$. Thus, in the natural morphisms of rings

$$\mathcal{O}_{F_X(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$$

the morphism on the right-hand side is injective and makes \mathcal{O}_x a finite $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -algebra. Furthermore, the image of $\mathcal{O}_{F_X(x)}$ in \mathcal{O}_x is \mathcal{O}_x^p by construction. This allows us to apply [8, Prop. 6.18, p.107], which implies that \mathcal{O}_x has a p -basis of order r over $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$. By definition, this means that there exist $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathcal{O}_x$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r \in \mathcal{O}_{x'}$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_{x'}[T_1, \dots, T_r]/(T_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, T_r^p - \xi_r)$$

²This argument is a variant of an argument communicated to us by Reinhold Hübl.

via the $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -algebra morphism sending T_i on x_i . With this identification, the ideal I is given by the equations

$$(T_i - S_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$$

in the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x'}} \mathcal{O}_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_{x'}[T_1, \dots, T_r, S_1, \dots, S_r] / (T_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, T_r^p - \xi_r, S_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, S_r^p - \xi_r).$$

If we apply the $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -algebra automorphism given by the formulae

$$T_i \mapsto T_i + S_i, S_i \mapsto S_i$$

to the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x'}[T_1, \dots, T_r, S_1, \dots, S_r]$, we obtain the following equivalent description: the ideal I is given by the equations $(T_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}}$ in the ring

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}_{x'}[T_1, \dots, T_r, S_1, \dots, S_r] / (T_1^p + S_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, T_r^p + S_r^p - \xi_r, S_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, S_r^p - \xi_r) \\ = & \mathcal{O}_{x'}[T_1, \dots, T_r, S_1, \dots, S_r] / (T_1^p, \dots, T_r^p, S_1^p - \xi_1, \dots, S_r^p - \xi_r) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -modules I^l / I^{l+1} ($l \in \mathbb{N}^*$) then have a $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -basis given by the monomials

$$T_1^{l_1} \dots T_r^{l_r} \cdot S_1^{s_1} \dots S_r^{s_r}$$

with $l_1 + \dots + l_r = l$ and $l_i, s_i < p$. This shows that $\text{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)_x$ is free as an $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -module. Its rank as an $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -module must coincide with the rank of $(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)_x$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -module, which is p^{2r} by construction. This is also the rank of $\tau(I/I^2)_x$ as a $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ -module. \square

Remark. The assumption that f is projective was not used in the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let Z be a quasi-compact scheme, which is endowed with an ample line bundle. Let z be an element of rank r in $K_0(Z)$. Then the class of z is invertible in the ring $K_0(Z)[\frac{1}{r}]$.*

Proof. The infinite sum in $K_0(Z)[\frac{1}{r}]$

$$1/r + (r - z)/r^2 + (r - z)^{\otimes 2}/r^3 + \dots$$

only has a finite number of non-vanishing terms (see [5, VI, Prop. 6.1]). A direct calculation with geometric series shows that this sum is an inverse of z in $K_0(Z)[\frac{1}{r}]$. \square

Remark. In [6, Question 5.2], B. Köck asks the following question: is the equation

$$F_*(\theta^p(\Omega_f)^{-1}) = 1$$

valid in $K_0(Y)[\frac{1}{p}]$ (see also the end of the introduction and the appendix about this). Proposition 3.2 implies that the answer to this question is positive. Indeed, using the projection formula in K_0 -theory, we compute

$$F_*(\theta^p(\Omega_g)^{-1}) = F_*((F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{-1}) = F_*(F^*(F_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{-1}) = (F_*\mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes (F_*\mathcal{O}_Z)^{-1} = 1.$$

This computation is partially repeated below.

We now come to the proof of the Adams-Riemann-Roch formula, which results from the following calculation in $K_0(X)[\frac{1}{p}]$. This calculation is in essence already in [6, Prop. 5.5]. It did not lead to a proof of the formula (1) there, because Proposition 3.2 was missing.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^p(\mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(E)) &= F_Y^* \mathbf{R}^\bullet f_*(E) \\ &= \mathbf{R}^\bullet f'_*(J^*(E)) \\ &= \mathbf{R}^\bullet f'_*((F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes (F_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes J^*(E)) \\ &= \mathbf{R}^\bullet f'_*F_*(F^*(F_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^*J^*(E)) \\ &= \mathbf{R}^\bullet f'_*((F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F_X^*(E)) \\ &= \mathbf{R}^\bullet f'_*(\theta^p(\Omega_f)^{-1} \otimes \psi^p(E)). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality uses the fact that $\psi^p = F_Y^*$ in $K_0(Y)$. The second equality follows from the base-change formula [5, IV, Prop. 3.1.1]. The third equality follows from the definition of $(F_*\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1}$ in $K_0(X')[\frac{1}{p}]$, using Lemmata 3.1 and 3.3. The fourth equality is justified by the projection formula in K_0 -theory (see [5, III, Prop. 3.7]). The fifth equality is just a simplification. Finally, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 2.6 imply that

$$F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathrm{Gr}(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \tau(I/I^2) = \theta^p(I/I^2) = \theta^p(\Omega_f) = \theta^p(L_f)$$

as elements of $K_0(X)$. This and the fact that $\psi^p = F_X^*$ in $K_0(X)$ prove the last equality, and we are done.

Appendix I : Another formula for the Bott element

by Bernhard Köck³

The object of this appendix is to give another formula for the Bott element of a smooth morphism. This formula is analogous to the final displayed formula in the main part of this paper and extends a list of miraculous analogies explained in Section 5 of [6]. It is probably needless to say that this appendix is inspired by the elegant approach to the Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem in positive characteristic developed by Richard Pink and Damian Rössler in the main part of this paper.

We begin by setting up the context. Let l be a prime and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth quasi-projective morphism between Noetherian schemes of relative dimension d . We furthermore assume that there exists an ample invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module. Let Ω_f denote the locally free sheaf of relative differentials and let $\theta^l(\Omega_f) \in K_0(X)$ denote the l -th Bott element associated with Ω_f (see Introduction). Furthermore let $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^l$ denote the diagonal morphism from X into the l -fold cartesian product $X^l := X \times_Y \dots \times_Y X$. We view Δ as a C_l -equivariant morphism where the cyclic group C_l of order l acts trivially on X and by permuting the factors on X^l . In particular we have a pull-back homomorphism $\Delta^* : K_0(C_l, X^l) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X)$ between the corresponding Grothendieck groups of equivariant locally free sheaves on X^l and X , respectively. As the closed immersion Δ is also regular we furthermore have a push-forward homomorphism $\Delta_* : K_0(C_l, X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X^l)$ (see Section 3 in [7]). Let finally $([\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]])$ denote the principal ideal of $K_0(C_l, X)$ generated by the regular representation $[\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]]$. We have a natural map $K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X)/([\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]])$ which is in fact injective under certain rather general assumption (see Corollary 4.4 in [6]). The following theorem should be viewed as an analogue of the formula $\theta^p(\Omega_f) = F^*F_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ proved at the very end of the main part of this paper.

Theorem. We have

$$\theta^l(\Omega_f) = \Delta^*(\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_X)) \quad \text{in } K_0(C_l, X)/([\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]]).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{I}_Δ denote the ideal sheaf corresponding to the regular closed immersion $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^l$. Then we have

$$\Delta^*(\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_X)) = \lambda_{-1}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta/\mathcal{I}_\Delta^2) \quad \text{in } K_0(C_l, X)$$

³School of Mathematics, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom. e-mail: B.Koeck@soton.ac.uk

by the equivariant self-intersection formula (see Corollary (3.9) in [7]); here $\lambda_{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the alternating sum $[\mathcal{O}_X] - [\mathcal{E}] + [\Lambda^2(\mathcal{E})] \pm \dots$ for any locally free C_l -sheaf \mathcal{E} on X . Furthermore we know that $\mathcal{I}_\Delta/\mathcal{I}_\Delta^2$ is C_l -isomorphic to $\Omega_f \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X,l}$ where $\mathcal{H}_{X,l} := \ker(\mathcal{O}_X[C_l] \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \mathcal{O}_X)$ denotes the augmentation representation (see Lemma 3.5 in [6]). Finally we have $\lambda_{-1}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{X,l}) = \theta^l(\mathcal{E})$ in $K_0(C_l, X)/([\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]])$ for any locally free C_l -module \mathcal{E} on X (see Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.9 in [6]). Putting these three facts together we obtain the desired equality of classes in $K_0(C_l, X)/([\mathcal{O}_X[C_l]])$.

Remark. The statements used in the above proof can also be found in Nori's paper [9].

The following table summarizes the astounding analogies mentioned at the beginning of this appendix. While the left hand column refers to the situation of the main part of this paper, the right hand column refers to the situation of this appendix and of Section 4 in [6]. The entries in the table are of a very symbolic nature; more detailed explanations can be found in Section 5 of [6]. For instance, $\tau^l : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X)$ and $\tau_{\text{ext}}^l : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X^l)$ denote the l -th tensor-power operation and l -th external-tensor-power operation, respectively.

$\psi^p = F_X^*$	$\psi^l = \tau^l$
relative Frobenius $F : X \rightarrow X'$	diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^l$
f is smooth $\Rightarrow F$ is flat \Rightarrow We have $F_* : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X')$	f is smooth $\Rightarrow \Delta$ is regular \Rightarrow We have $\Delta_* : K_0(C_l, X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X^l)$
$f' : X' \rightarrow Y$	$f^l : X^l \rightarrow Y$
$J^* : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X')$	$\tau_{\text{ext}}^l : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(C_l, X^l)$
Base change: $F_Y^* f_* = (f')_* J^*$	Künneth formula: $\tau^l f_* = f_*^l \tau_{\text{ext}}^l$
$F_X^* = F^* J^*$	$\tau^l = \Delta^* \tau_{\text{ext}}^l$
$\theta^p(\Omega_f) = F^*(F_*(\mathcal{O}_X))$	$\theta^l(\Omega_f) = \Delta^*(\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_X))$
$F_*(\theta^p(\Omega_f)^{-1}) = 1$	$\Delta_*(\theta^p(\Omega_f)^{-1}) = 1$

The statements displayed in each of the two columns imply the Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem, see Section 3 of this paper and Section 4 of [6]. These two implications are entirely analogous to each other (see also [6, Proposition 5.5]) and they are purely formal, i.e. no further ingredients are needed.

All these analogies suggest that there should be a common reason or a general framework both of the two situations are special cases of. This hope is however tarnished by a certain discrepancy we are now going to explain.

While it is fairly easy to prove that $F_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is invertible in $K_0(X)[p^{-1}]$ (see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3), the corresponding statement that $\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is invertible in $K_0(C_l, X^l)[l^{-1}]/(\mathcal{O}_{X^l}[C^l])$ follows in the absolute case (i.e. when $Y = \text{Spec}(k)$, k a perfect field) from rather involved K -theoretical results (see Section 2 of Nori’s paper [9]) which unfortunately don’t have a counterpart in the situation of the left hand column and which seem not to generalize to the general (relative) case. While the last statement in the left hand column of the above table is an immediate consequence of the penultimate formula and of the fact that $F_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is invertible in $K_0(X)[p^{-1}]$ (see Remark after Lemma 3.3), the analogous proof of the last formula in the right hand column (see [6, Theorem 3.1]) is in particular not (yet?) available in general.

References

- [1] Paul Baum, William Fulton, and Robert MacPherson, *Riemann-Roch for singular varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **45** (1975), 101–145.
- [2] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé, *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. I. Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), no. 1, 49–78.
- [3] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie, *Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 247–270 (French). MR894379 (88j:14029)
- [4] William Fulton and Serge Lang, *Riemann-Roch algebra*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 277, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [5] *Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971 (French). Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1966–1967 (SGA 6); Dirigé par P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck et L. Illusie. Avec la collaboration de D. Ferrand, J. P. Jouanolou, O. Jussila, S. Kleiman, M. Raynaud et J. P. Serre.
- [6] B. Köck, *Riemann-Roch for tensor powers*, Math. Z. **233** (2000), no. 4, 755–801.
- [7] Bernhard Köck, *The Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem for group scheme actions*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **31** (1998), no. 3, 415–458 (English, with English and French summaries).

- [8] Ernst Kunz, *Kähler differentials*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1986.
- [9] Madhav V. Nori, *The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 473–482. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.