

A note on the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture

Tung Nguyen¹
Princeton University,
Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Alex Scott²
Mathematical Institute,
University of Oxford,
Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

Paul Seymour¹
Princeton University,
Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

January 17, 2023; revised January 10, 2024

¹Supported by AFOSR grant FA9550-22-1-0234 and by NSF grant DMS-2154169.

²Research supported by EPSRC grant EP/X013642/1.

Abstract

The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture says that for every tree T and every integer $t \geq 1$, if G is a graph with no clique of size t and with sufficiently large chromatic number, then G contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to T . This remains open, but we prove that under the same hypotheses, G contains a subgraph H isomorphic to T that is “path-induced”; that is, for some distinguished vertex r , every path of H with one end r is an induced path of G .

1 Introduction

The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture says [2, 14]:

1.1 Conjecture: *For every tree T , and every integer $t \geq 1$, if G is a graph with no clique of size t , and with no induced subgraph isomorphic to T , then its chromatic number is bounded.*

This has been proved for a few families of trees (for instance [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10], and see [9] for a survey), but remains open in general. However, if in its statement, we replace “no induced subgraph isomorphic to T ” with “no subgraph isomorphic to T ” then the statement becomes true and easy, since every such (non-null) graph G has a vertex of degree less than $|T|$ (the number of vertices of T), and so has chromatic number at most $|T|$. We are concerned with something between these two.

Let T be a tree and let r be a vertex of T (we call (T, r) a *rooted tree*). A *path-induced copy* of (T, r) in G is an isomorphism from T to a subgraph of a graph G , such that, for every path P of T with one end r , the image of P under ϕ is an induced path in G . So, admitting a path-induced copy of (T, r) lies partway between having T as a subgraph and having T as an induced subgraph.

We will show here that 1.1 is true if instead of excluding an *induced* tree we exclude a *path-induced* tree. Our main result is the following.

1.2 Theorem: *For every rooted tree (T, r) , and every integer $t \geq 1$, if G is a graph with no clique of size t , that admits no path-induced copy of (T, r) , then its chromatic number is bounded.*

We prove 1.2 in the next section, and give some further discussion in the final section.

2 The proof

We denote the chromatic number of G by $\chi(G)$, and for $X \subseteq V(G)$, we write $\chi(X)$ for $\chi(G[X])$. We need the following lemma. It is a special case of a theorem of [1], but we give the proof since it is short. For $c \geq 1$, we say $X \subseteq V(G)$ is a *c-creature* of G if every vertex in X has fewer than c neighbours in $V(G) \setminus X$.

2.1 Lemma: *For all integers $a, c \geq 0$, if G is a graph with $\chi(G) > ac$, and $X \subseteq V(G)$ is a c-creature with $\chi(X) \leq a$, then $\chi(G \setminus X) = \chi(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\chi(G \setminus X) < \chi(G)$, and let $\kappa : V(G) \setminus X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, \chi(G) - 1\}$ be a colouring of $G \setminus X$ with $\chi(G) - 1$ colours. Since $\chi(X) \leq a$, there is a partition X_1, \dots, X_a of X into a stable sets. For $1 \leq i \leq a$, let

$$J_i = \{(i-1)c + 1, (i-1)c + 2, \dots, ic\}.$$

For each $v \in X_i$, choose $\kappa(v) \in J_i$ different from $\kappa(u)$ for each neighbour $u \in V(G) \setminus X$ of v (this is possible since $|J_i| = c$ and v has fewer than c neighbours in $V(G) \setminus X$). Thus, we have extended κ to a $(\chi(G) - 1)$ -colouring of G , a contradiction. This proves 2.1. ■

If ϕ is a path-induced copy of some (T, r) , we denote by $V(\phi)$ the vertex set of its image, that is, the set of all vertices $\phi(h)$ ($h \in V(T)$). For integers $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, let (T_d^k, r) be the rooted tree in which the root r has degree d , every vertex has degree d or 1, and every path from r to a leaf has length k . If u is a vertex, $N(u)$ denotes the set of its neighbours. We need:

2.2 Lemma: *Let $k \geq 1$, $d \geq 2$, and $\tau \geq 0$ be integers. Then there exists an integer K with the following property. Let G be a graph, such that $\chi(N(u)) \leq \tau$ for every $u \in V(G)$, and let $v \in V(G)$. Then either G admits a path-induced copy ϕ of (T_d^k, r) with $\phi(r) = v$, or there exists a $(1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{k-1})$ -creature X of G with $v \in X$ such that $\chi(X) \leq K$.*

Proof. For each $j \geq 1$, define $c(j) = 1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{j-1}$. Define $f(1) = 1$, and inductively for $j \geq 2$, define $f(j) = f(j-1)c(j-1) + \tau$. We will prove by induction on k that $K = f(k)$ satisfies the theorem.

Let G be a graph, such that $\chi(N(u)) \leq \tau$ for every $u \in V(G)$, and let $v \in V(G)$. If $k = 1$, and v has at least d neighbours in G , then there is a path-induced copy ϕ of (T_d^k, r) with $\phi(r) = v$; and otherwise $\{v\}$ is a d -creature. Thus, we may assume that $k \geq 2$ and that the result holds for $k-1$. Let $M(v) = V(G) \setminus (N(v) \cup \{v\})$. Choose $A \subseteq N(v)$ maximal such that for each $a \in A$, there is a path-induced copy ϕ_a of (T_d^{k-1}, r) such that

- $\phi_a(r) = a$ and $V(\phi_a) \subseteq \{a\} \cup M(v)$, for each $a \in A$; and
- the sets $V(\phi_a)$ ($a \in A$) are pairwise disjoint.

If $|A| \geq d$, then G admits a path-induced copy ϕ of (T_d^k, r) with $\phi(r) = v$, as required, so we may assume that $|A| < d$. Consequently the union of the sets $V(\phi_a)$ ($a \in A$) has cardinality at most $(d-1)(1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{k-1}) = d^k - 1$. Let us denote this union by W .

For each $u \in N(v) \setminus A$, from the inductive hypothesis applied to the subgraph induced on $(\{u\} \cup M(v)) \setminus W$, there is a $c(k-1)$ -creature X_u of this subgraph with $u \in X_u$ and with $\chi(X_u) \leq f(k-1)$. Let X be the union of $\{v\}$ and all the sets X_u ($u \in N(v) \setminus A$). We claim that X satisfies the theorem.

(1) X is a $c(k)$ -creature.

First, since X contains all vertices of $N(v) \setminus A$, it follows that v has $|A| < d \leq c(k)$ neighbours in $V(G) \setminus X$. Every other vertex $x \in X$ belongs to one of the sets X_u where $u \in N(v) \setminus A$, and so has fewer than $c(k-1)$ neighbours in $M(v) \setminus (X_u \cup W)$, and consequently has fewer than $c(k-1)$ in $V(G) \setminus (X \cup W)$. Moreover, it has at most d^k neighbours in W because $|W| \leq d^k$, and so has in total fewer than $c(k-1) + d^k = c(k)$ neighbours in $V(G) \setminus X$. This proves (1).

(2) $\chi(X) \leq f(k)$.

For each $B \subseteq N(v) \setminus A$, let X_B be the union of the sets $(X_b \setminus \{b\})$ ($b \in B$). Thus, $X_B \subseteq M(v) \setminus W$. Suppose first that $\chi(X_{N(v) \setminus A}) > f(k-1)c(k-1)$, and choose $B \subseteq N(v) \setminus A$ minimal such that $\chi(X_B) > f(k-1)c(k-1)$. Since $B \neq \emptyset$, there exists $b \in B$; but $X_b \setminus \{b\}$ is a $c(k-1)$ -creature of $G[X_B]$ with chromatic number at most $f(k-1)$, contrary to 2.1 and the minimality of B . This proves that $\chi(X_{N(v) \setminus A}) \leq f(k-1)c(k-1)$. Since $\chi(N(v)) \leq \tau$, it follows that $\chi(X) \leq f(k-1)c(k-1) + \tau = f(k)$. This proves (2).

From (1) and (2), X satisfies the theorem. This proves 2.2. ▀

Now we can deduce 1.2, which we restate:

2.3 Theorem: *For every rooted tree (T, r) and every integer $t \geq 1$, if G is a graph with no clique of size t and with sufficiently large chromatic number, then G admits a path-induced copy of (T, r) .*

Proof. We may assume that (T, r) equals (T_d^k, r) for some choice of $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. We proceed by induction on t ; and so may assume that there exists $\tau \geq 0$ such that if G is a graph with no clique of size $t-1$, and $\chi(G) > \tau$, then G admits a path-induced copy of (T, r) . Choose $f(k)$ as in the proof of 2.2. We claim that if G is a graph with no clique of size t that does not admit a path-induced copy of (T, r) , then $\chi(G) \leq (1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{k-1})f(k)$. We may assume that G is critical with its chromatic number; that is, for every nonempty subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, $\chi(G \setminus X) < \chi(G)$. By 2.2, there is a $(1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{k-1})$ -creature X of G with $v \in X$ such that $\chi(X) \leq f(k)$. Since G has no clique of size t , for every vertex v , $G[N(v)]$ has no clique of size $t-1$, and so $\chi(G[N(v)]) \leq \tau$. Since $\chi(G \setminus X) < \chi(G)$, 2.1 implies that

$$\chi(G) \leq (1 + d + d^2 + \dots + d^{k-1})f(k).$$

This proves 2.3. ▀

3 Strengthenings

In this final section, we discuss possible strengthenings of 1.2.

One can refine 1.2 a little, and we first give a sketch of this. Start with a graph G with bounded clique number and large (really really huge!) chromatic number. For fixed k, d , we can apply 1.2 to get a path-induced copy of (T_D^k, r) in G for some huge value of D , and then use Ramsey arguments to get a path-induced copy of T_d^k where we have some control over the edges that stop this subgraph being induced. For instance, since there is a bound on the maximum size of a clique, each vertex of the tree that has children has a large (say size D') set of children that is stable in G ; and the set of vertices such that all their ancestors belong to the selected stable subsets forms a path-induced copy of $(T_{D'}^k, r)$ in which for every vertex, its set of children is stable in G . We can also arrange, using the bipartite Ramsey theorem, that for every two vertices of the tree at the same height, the children of the first are either completely adjacent, or completely nonadjacent, to the children of the second. And then we can get a path-induced copy of $(T_{D''}^k, r)$, for some huge D'' , such that for each vertex, its set of grandchildren is stable in G . And so on: we can arrange that for each i , the set of vertices at distance i from the root is a stable set. (Let us call this being *level-stable*.)

We can also arrange, using the bipartite Ramsey theorem, that for every two vertices of the tree that are not leaves, even if their height is different, the children of the first are either completely adjacent, or completely nonadjacent, to the children of the second. (The argument here is trickier: it is important to fix up pairs in the right order, but we omit the details.)

But we can go further. Say two vertices u, v of the tree are *incomparable* if neither is an ancestor of the other; and let $d(u, v)$ denote the distance between u, v in the tree. If u, v belong to the tree, let w be their “join” (their common ancestor furthest from the root), and let $a = d(u, w), b = d(v, w)$ and $c = d(w, r)$. The triple (a, b, c) describes the pair u, v up to isomorphisms of the tree. But we need a little more information. For each vertex, choose a linear order of its set of children. So now, if u, v are incomparable, then they descend from different children (say u', v' respectively) of their join w , and one of these is earlier than the other in the linear order of the children of w . If u' is earlier

than v' we say u is earlier than v . Let us say that the *type* of an unordered pair $\{u, v\}$ (where u, v are incomparable) is the triple (a, b, c) defined as before, where u is earlier than v .

Let us say a path-induced copy of T_d^r in G is *type-uniform* if the adjacency of each incomparable pair of vertices depends only on their type; in other words, if $\{u, v\}$ and $\{u', v'\}$ have the same type, then they are both adjacent or both nonadjacent pairs. One can use more Ramsey arguments (we omit the details, which are straightforward) to arrange, again by reducing D , that the adjacency of each pair of vertices depends only on their type; in other words, if $\{u, v\}$ and $\{u', v'\}$ have the same type, then they are both adjacent or both nonadjacent pairs. In conclusion, then, we deduce:

3.1 Theorem: *For all $k, t \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, if G is a graph with no clique of size t and with sufficiently large chromatic number, then G admits a path-induced, level-stable, type-uniform copy of (T_d^k, r) .*

Here is another way in which we might strengthen 1.2: can we obtain polynomial bounds? There is an analogous problem where we exclude the complete bipartite graph $K_{t,t}$ as a subgraph, instead of excluding K_t , and the following was shown in [11]:

3.2 Theorem: *For every tree T , there is a polynomial $f(t)$ such that for every integer $t \geq 1$, if G has no induced subgraph isomorphic to T and no subgraph isomorphic to $K_{t,t}$, then G has average degree at most $f(t)$.*

This is an improvement of a result of Kierstead and Penrice [5], who proved that there is a function $f(t)$ as in 3.2, not necessarily a polynomial; and that in turn was an improvement of a theorem of Rödl (see [4, 5, 6]), who proved the same with average degree replaced by chromatic number. Is there any hope for a comparable strengthening of 1.2?

3.2 assumes that G does not contain $K_{t,t}$ as a subgraph. In 1.2 we replace this by the much weaker hypothesis that the clique number of G is bounded, although in compensation we must weaken the conclusion, replacing the bound on average degree with a bound on chromatic number. This change is necessary: $K_{n,n}$ has large minimal degree, but no K_3 and no path-induced copy of P_4 .

But we could still ask for a *polynomial* bound on chromatic number. Indeed, it is possible that the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture holds with polynomial bounds (in other words, 1.1 with a bound on chromatic number that is polynomial in t). This has recently been shown for a few trees, including every tree that does not contain the five-vertex path as an induced subgraph [12]. However, the five-vertex path appears intractable. Here, the best current bound is slightly superpolynomial (see [13] for this and for related discussion):

3.3 Theorem: *If G does not contain the five-vertex path P_5 as an induced subgraph, and has clique number t , then $\chi(G) \leq t^{\log_2 t}$.*

If P is a path and r is one end of P , then a graph G contains a path-induced copy of (P, r) if and only if it contains an induced copy of P . Thus, obtaining polynomial bounds in 1.2 even for P_5 would also require an improvement of 3.3.

References

- [1] M. Chudnovsky, A. Scott and P. Seymour, “Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. XII. Distant stars”, *J. Graph Theory* **92** (2019), 237–254, [arXiv:1711.08612](#).
- [2] A. Gyárfás, “On Ramsey covering-numbers”, *Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. II*, pp. 801–816. Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [3] A. Gyárfás, “Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs”, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Combinatorial Analysis and its Applications*, (Pokrzywna, 1985), *Zastos. Mat.* **19** (1987), 413–441.
- [4] A. Gyárfás, E. Szemerédi and Zs. Tuza, “Induced subtrees in graphs of large chromatic number”, *Discrete Math.* **30** (1980), 235–344.
- [5] H. A. Kierstead and S. G. Penrice, “Radius two trees specify χ -bounded classes”, *J. Graph Theory* **18** (1994), 119–129.
- [6] H. A. Kierstead and V. Rödl, “Applications of hypergraph coloring to coloring graphs not inducing certain trees”, *Discrete Math.* **150** (1996), 187–193.
- [7] H. A. Kierstead and Y. Zhu, “Radius three trees in graphs with large chromatic number”, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* **17** (2004), 571–581.
- [8] A. Scott, “Induced trees in graphs of large chromatic number”, *J. Graph Theory* **24** (1997), 297–311.
- [9] A. Scott and P. Seymour, “A survey of χ -boundedness”, *J. Graph Theory* **95** (2020), 473–504, [arXiv:1812.07500](#).
- [10] A. Scott and P. Seymour, “Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. XIII. New brooms”, *European J. Combinatorics* **84** (2020), 103024, [arXiv:1807.03768](#).
- [11] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, “Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. I. Excluding a biclique and an induced tree”, *J. Graph Theory* **102** (2023), 458–471. [arXiv:2104.07927](#).
- [12] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, “Polynomial bounds on chromatic number. III. Excluding a double star”, *J. Graph Theory*, **101** (2022), 323–340, [arXiv:2108.07066](#).
- [13] A. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl, “Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. IV. A near-polynomial bound for excluding the five-vertex path”, *Combinatorica*, **43** (2023), 845–852, [arXiv:2110.00278](#).
- [14] D. P. Sumner, “Subtrees of a graph and chromatic number”, in *The Theory and Applications of Graphs*, (G. Chartrand, ed.), John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981), 557–576.