

# New Laplace and Helmholtz solvers

Abinand Gopal and Lloyd N. Trefethen

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

`gopal@maths.ox.ac.uk` and `trefethen@maths.ox.ac.uk`

**Abstract.** New numerical algorithms based on rational functions are introduced that can solve certain Laplace and Helmholtz problems on two-dimensional domains with corners faster and more accurately than the standard methods of finite elements and integral equations. The new algorithms point to a reconsideration of the assumptions underlying existing numerical analysis for partial differential equations.

## 1. Laplace equation

The Laplace and Helmholtz equations are the basic partial differential equations (PDEs) of potential theory and acoustics, respectively [1]. Suppose a region  $\Omega$  bounded by a polygon  $P$  is given and (to begin with the Laplace case) we seek the unique function  $u(x, y)$  that satisfies  $\Delta u = \partial^2 u / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 u / \partial y^2 = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and matches a given function  $h$  at the boundary. More generally,  $P$  might have curved sides meeting at corners, and the boundary data might involve derivatives as well as function values. It is convenient to represent the coordinates by a complex variable  $z = x + iy$ , so we write the boundary condition as  $u(z) = h(z)$  for  $z \in P$ .


The standard techniques for solving such a problem numerically are the finite element method (FEM) [2] and boundary integral equations [3]. Yet these methods face a challenge in calculating accurate solutions because of singularities at the corners [4]. The mathematical basis of a new algorithm for meeting this challenge is a theorem in the field of approximation theory published by Donald Newman in 1964 [5]. Newman considered the problem of approximation of  $f(x) = |x|$  on the interval  $[-1, 1]$  by a rational function, that is, a quotient of polynomials  $r(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ . He showed that whereas polynomial approximations converge at best at the very slow rate  $\|f - p_n\| = O(n^{-1})$ , rational approximations can achieve much faster “root-exponential” convergence  $\|f - r_n\| = O(\exp(-C\sqrt{n}))$  with  $C > 0$  [6, 7]. Here  $n$  is the degree of a polynomial or rational function, which is defined in the latter case as the maximum of the degrees of  $p$  and  $q$ .

Our new algorithm achieves root-exponential convergence for solving PDEs by approximating  $u(z)$  by the real part of a rational function,  $u(z) = \text{Re} r(z)$ . Any such approximation is a harmonic function in  $\Omega$ , i.e., a solution of the Laplace equation, provided  $r$  has no poles in  $\Omega$ . Finding rational approximations to given data is a difficult nonlinear problem in general [8]. Here, however, we know that the dominant singularities of the function to be approximated are located at the vertices of  $P$ . This suggests the idea, motivated by Newman’s result and related computational experience [8], of prescribing poles of  $r$  outside  $\Omega$  a priori in a configuration with exponential clustering near each vertex. Specifically, our rational functions are represented in the partial fraction

form


$$r(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \frac{a_j}{z - z_j} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_2} b_j z^j, \quad (1)$$

with the poles  $\{z_j\}$  fixed and the real and imaginary parts of  $\{a_j\}$  and  $\{b_j\}$  as unknowns ( $N = 2N_1 + 2N_2 + 1$  degrees of freedom in total, since  $b_0$  can be taken to be real). One might expect that the pole locations would have to be delicately chosen to be effective. However, in a new mathematical result to be published elsewhere, we have proved that a straightforward realization of this idea, relying on no unknown parameters, is enough to guarantee the existence of approximations of the form (1) with root-exponential convergence. To find such approximations computationally, one exploits the fact that since the poles are prescribed, the problem is linear. Expansion coefficients are found by least-squares fitting in sample points on the boundary, a routine problem of linear algebra involving a matrix of dimensions about  $3N \times N$ . Starting from a small value such as 50,  $N$  is increased systematically until a prescribed accuracy is achieved. In typical problems on polygons with up to 8 vertices, we find that  $N \approx 1000$  suffices to give accuracy to 8 digits, complete with an accuracy guarantee derived from the maximum principle, which asserts that the error at each point in the interior is bounded by the maximal error on the boundary.



**Fig. 1. Laplace equation in an L-shaped region.** Poles are clustered exponentially near each vertex, and then a least-squares problem is solved on the boundary  $P$  to find expansion coefficients for a global representation (1) of a solution accurate to 10 digits. The dot in the interior of the domain marks the expansion point of the polynomial part of (1).

Figure 1 shows the solution computed by the new Laplace equation algorithm in an L-shaped region, a famous test geometry for problems of this kind [10]. For a problem that is generic in the sense of having singularities at the corners, the simplest choice of boundary data is  $u(z) = h(z) = [\operatorname{Re} z]^2 = x^2$ . Our code approximates the solution successively with  $N = 42, 82, 138, \dots, 1002$  degrees of freedom, at which point 10-digit accuracy is achieved. Figure 2 shows the accuracy as a function of  $\sqrt{N}$ , revealing a straight line corresponding to root-exponential convergence. For a wide range of Laplace problems on polygons, this performance is representative. Typically we solve a problem in  $<1$ s on a laptop running MATLAB in 16-digit floating-point arithmetic, and then



**Fig. 2. Convergence.** The maximal error as a function of number of degrees of freedom  $N$  for the problem of Fig. 1 shows root-exponential convergence. Similar convergence is observed for other domains with corners.

each evaluation of the solution, with guaranteed accuracy all the way to the corners, takes a few tens of  $\mu$ s. For the problem of Fig. 1, the evaluation of  $u$  to 10-digit accuracy at  $10^4$  points in  $\Omega$  takes 0.3s.

## 2. Comparison with other methods

The numerical solution of PDEs has been at the heart of scientific computing since the 1950s, and the Laplace equation in a planar domain is as fundamental a problem in this area as any. There are two main classes of methods for solving such problems: the finite element method (FEM), and boundary integral equations. To gather information on how the new approach compares with these, in November 2018 we posed the L-domain problem just presented as a challenge to the international numerical analysis community via the email list NA Digest [11]. Specifically, we asked for a computation of  $u(0.99, 0.99)$  to 8 digits of accuracy (the exact value is 1.02679192610...). This led to responses from about twenty experts around the world. As expected, about half the responses recommended FEM algorithms and software such as FEniCS [12], Firedrake [13], IFISS [14], and PLTMG [15]. In this approach, the set of functions on  $\Omega$  is approximated by a finite dimensional linear space, and then one solves a matrix problem to find a good candidate in that space. Typically the matrices are large, sparse, and ill-conditioned. The FEM is noted for its great flexibility, enabling problems much more complicated than ours to be solved effectively, in three as well as two dimensions. However, it is not very efficient near singularities, and whereas all our respondents were able to calculate a solution to 2–4 digits of accuracy, only one came close to 8 digits. For example, one researcher used 158,997 5th-order triangular finite elements near the reentrant corner and achieved 6 correct digits. Our assessment is that it is possible to solve the Laplace equation on a region with corners to high accuracy by the FEM, but this entails a significant computation requiring a high level of expertise and tools.

The other well-known methods are boundary integral equations, which are advantageous because of good conditioning and because the solution is represented in one dimension, along the boundary, rather than two dimensions in the domain [3]. Here one begins by solving an integral equation to determine a density function  $\rho(z)$ , such as this

Fredholm equation of the second kind:

$$h(z) = -\pi\rho(z) + \int_P K(z, \zeta)\rho(\zeta)|d\zeta|, \quad K(z, \zeta) = \frac{\cos(\angle(z - \zeta, \nu(\zeta)))}{|z - \zeta|}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\angle(z - \zeta, \nu(\zeta))$  is the angle between  $z - \zeta$  and the inward normal to  $P$  at  $\zeta$ . This is a “double-layer potential” formulation, in the standard terminology, whose solution  $\rho(z)$  can be interpreted as a distribution of dipole charge density along the boundary. Once  $\rho$  is found, the solution to the Laplace problem is evaluated at a point  $z$  by computing an integral:

$$u(z) = \int_P K(z, \zeta)\rho(\zeta)|d\zeta|. \quad (3)$$

In general  $\rho$  will be smooth along the sides and singular at the corners, introducing challenges in evaluating the integrals. Additionally, the kernel  $K$  is singular, so that even away from the corners, an accurate evaluation may be a nontrivial task. However, experts have developed powerful techniques for such quadratures [16], and four respondents to our inquiry produced results accurate to the specified 8 digits or more. We conclude that if one wants an accurate solution to Laplace problems in corners, integral equations are the most effective of the existing technologies. On the other hand, there is not much software available, and the solutions communicated to us were produced by experts running their own codes.


Whereas integral equations make use of a continuous distribution of dipoles  $\rho(z)$  on the boundary, the new rational functions method can be interpreted as using a finite sum of dipoles (delta functions) beyond the boundary. This has the advantage that evaluation of  $u(z)$  takes exactly the same form (1) regardless of  $z$ , requiring no special calculations when  $z$  is near a boundary arc or a corner. One may regard this as a zero-dimensional representation of the solution, whose complexity is determined only by the complexity of the function being represented, not by that of the region  $\Omega$  or its boundary  $P$ . The most closely related existing method goes by the name of the method of fundamental solutions (MFS), also called the charge simulation method, in which solutions are also approximated via finite sums [17,18]. The MFS differs from our approach in that each term is normally a monopole (point charge) rather than a dipole, and it is not normally applied with exponential clustering to achieve root-exponential convergence [19].

### 3. Helmholtz equation


The most important generalization of the Laplace equation is the Helmholtz equation  $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$ , which models time-harmonic propagation of acoustic or electromagnetic waves at frequency  $k$  [20]. For a Helmholtz problem in a domain exterior to a scattering body with wave fields satisfying the Sommerfeld radiation condition, we modify (1) to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} a_j H_0(k|z - z_j|) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} b_j H_1(k|z - z_j|) \frac{z - z_j}{|z - z_j|} + \sum_{j=0}^{N_2} c_j H_j(k|z|) \frac{z^j}{|z|^j}, \quad (4)$$

where  $k$  is fixed and  $H_j$  are Hankel functions of the first kind. We cluster singularities exponentially near corners in the interior of the scatterer. Interesting problems now require complex expansion coefficients to cancel a signal incident at the boundary; the



**Fig. 3. Helmholtz equation.** Analogous computations to Fig. 1 for the Helmholtz equation  $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$  with  $k = 50$  in the exterior of a square. The incident signal on the left is a plane wave oriented at  $30^\circ$ , and on the right, a point oscillation  $H_0(k|z - z_0|)$  situated at  $z_0 = 1/2 + i$ .



**Fig. 4. Convergence.** Root-exponential convergence for the Helmholtz equation, after an initial  $k$ -dependent transient needed to resolve the wave. The quantity measured is the maximum error on the boundary for the first example of Fig. 3.

“sound soft” case has zero total field at the boundary, and the “sound hard” case has zero normal derivative. Figure 3 shows two sound soft example problems solved to 4-digit accuracy [21]. In each case the solution took about 2s on a laptop, with about  $250 \mu s$  for each point evaluation afterwards. The convergence rate is again root-exponential, as shown in Fig. 4.

#### 4. Discussion

Many issues will need to be addressed as the new methods are developed. One is the effective choice of exponential clustering parameters and numbers of singularities near each vertex, which does not matter in a certain theoretical sense but may be important in practice since poor choices lead to numerical instability. Another is the treatment of slits and other geometric complications, including the treatment of highly elongated domains, where it may be important to generalize the  $N_2$  sums of (1) and (4) to several sums centered at different points. For Helmholtz problems with high frequency ( $k \gg 1$ ),

a simple  $N_2$  sum may cease to be competitive for the smooth part of the problem with other established methods. And of course there is the question of extension to the three space dimensions, where again, existing methods do not always well exploit the smoothness of most problems away from certain singular points and curves. For the Laplace equation, this entails a move from the logarithmic potential associated with rational functions and the plane to the inverse-linear potential of Newtonian mechanics. In principle, methods with fractional-exponential convergence analogous to the methods presented here should exist in 3D, but we have no view as to whether they will prove competitive in practice.

There is a historical context that may shed light on the lack of previous literature on solving PDEs by means of rational functions and their generalizations. The mathematicians of the nineteenth century focused on functions that were analytic or piecewise analytic, i.e., smooth and representable by convergent Taylor series. Most physical applications are of this kind. In the twentieth century, however, mathematicians turned to new challenges of less smooth functions, developing advanced tools for analyzing fine distinctions of regularity (i.e., smoothness). Overwhelmingly, such tools became the standard framework for computational mathematicians too, and in particular, FEM experts almost invariably derive and analyze their algorithms in the language of Sobolev spaces [22], in which precise distinctions are made, for example, between a function with one derivative of smoothness and a function with one-and-a-half derivatives. This kind of numerical analysis brings with it a bias towards low-accuracy methods tuned to problems with limited smoothness. The simplicity and speed of the new methods proposed here are a reminder that there is also a place for numerical analysis based on less pessimistic smoothness assumptions.

This note has introduced a new class of numerical methods for the fast and accurate solution of certain PDEs. The method captures singularities to high accuracy without having to analyze them and delivers a global representation of the solution by a single formula. To assess its prospects, one may divide the scale of PDE problems into small, medium, and large. For small or “toy” problems such as illustrated here, the new method appears to be faster than existing methods for high-accuracy solutions (e.g., 5–10 digits). At the other extreme, for large problems of computational engineering, often in complex geometries, the new approach would probably be unworkable (and in any case would require extension to three dimensions). It is the middle range, which one might associate with computational science more than computational engineering, that will be most important in the long run. We believe the new approach holds promise for such problems and that developing it is a challenge for the years ahead. The established methods of finite elements and integral equations, of course, have benefited from half a century’s head start.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was initiated during September 2018 while the authors were visiting New York University. We thank Alex Barnett, Timo Betcke, Leslie Greengard, Dave Hewett, Yuji Nakatsukasa, Vladimir Rokhlin, Kirill Serkh, and Euan Spence for their advice.

## References

- [1] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics* (Interscience, 1966).
- [2] D. Braess, *Finite Elements: Theory, Fast Solvers, and Applications in Solid Mechanics* (Cambridge U. Press, 2007).
- [3] K. Serkh and V. Rokhlin, On the solution of elliptic partial differential equations on regions with corners, *J. Comput. Phys.* **305**, 150–171 (2016).
- [4] W. Wasow, Asymptotic development of the solution of Dirichlet’s problem at analytic corners, *Duke Math. J.* **24**, 47–56 (1957).
- [5] D. J. Newman, Rational approximation to  $|x|$ , *Mich. Math. J.* **11**, 11–14 (1964).
- [6] H. Stahl, Best uniform rational approximation of  $|x|$  on  $[-1, 1]$ , *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* **76**, 461–487 (1993).
- [7] L. N. Trefethen, *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice* (SIAM, 2013).
- [8] Y. Nakatsukasa, O. Sète, and L. N. Trefethen, The AAA algorithm for rational approximation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **40**, A1494–A1522 (2018).
- [9] A. Gopal and L. N. Trefethen, Representation of conformal maps by rational functions, *Numer. Math.*, to appear.
- [10] L. Fox, P. Henrici, and C. Moler, Approximations and bounds for eigenvalues of elliptic operators, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **4**, 89–102 (1967).
- [11] L. N. Trefethen, 8-digit Laplace solutions on polygons? Posting on NA Digest at [www.netlib.org/na-digest-html](http://www.netlib.org/na-digest-html) (29 November 2018).
- [12] <https://fenicsproject.org>.
- [13] <https://www.firedrakeproject.org>.
- [14] H. C. Elman, A. Ramage, and D. J. Silvester, IFISS: A computational laboratory for investigating incompressible flow problems, *SIAM Rev.* **56**, 261–273 (2014).
- [15] R. E. Bank, PLTMG 13.0, [www.netlib.org](http://www.netlib.org) (2018).
- [16] A. Klöckner, A. Barnett, L. Greengard, and M. O’Neil, Quadrature by expansion: A new method for the evaluation of layer potentials, *J. Comput. Phys.* **252**, 332–349 (2013).
- [17] A. H. Barnett and T. Betcke, Stability and convergence of the method of fundamental solutions for Helmholtz problems on analytic domains, *J. Comput. Phys.* **227**, 7003–7026 (2008).
- [18] G. Fairweather and A. Karageorghis, The method of fundamental solutions for elliptic boundary value problems, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **9**, 69–95 (1998).
- [19] Y. Liu, *The Numerical Solution of Frequency-Domain Acoustic and Electromagnetic Periodic Scattering Problems*, PhD thesis, Dartmouth College (2016).
- [20] V. Rokhlin, Rapid solution of integral equations of scattering theory in two dimensions, *J. Comput. Phys.* **86**, 414–439 (1990).
- [21] A. H. Barnett and T. Betcke, An exponentially convergent nonpolynomial finite element method for time-harmonic scattering from polygons, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **32**, 1417–1441 (2010).
- [22] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.