Numerical analysis of the multilevel Milstein discretisation

Mike Giles

Kristian Debrabant (Univ. of Southern Denmark)
Andreas Rößler (TU Darmstadt)

mike.giles@maths.ox.ac.uk

Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

Multilevel Monte Carlo

Given a scalar SDE driven by a Brownian diffusion

$$dS(t) = a(S, t) dt + b(S, t) dW(t), \qquad 0 < t < T$$

to estimate $\mathbb{E}[P]$ where the path-dependent payoff P can be approximated by \widehat{P}_ℓ using 2^ℓ uniform timesteps, we use

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_L] = \mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_0] + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_\ell - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}].$$

 $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_\ell-\widehat{P}_{\ell-1}]$ is estimated using N_ℓ simulations with same W(t) for both \widehat{P}_ℓ and $\widehat{P}_{\ell-1}$,

$$\widehat{Y}_{\ell} = N_{\ell}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\ell}} \left(\widehat{P}_{\ell}^{(i)} - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}^{(i)} \right)$$

MLMC Theorem

Theorem: Let P be a functional of the solution of an SDE, and \widehat{P}_{ℓ} the discrete approximation using a timestep $h_{\ell}=2^{-\ell}\,T$.

If there exist independent estimators \widehat{Y}_{ℓ} based on N_{ℓ} Monte Carlo samples, with computational complexity (cost) C_{ℓ} , and positive constants $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, β , c_1 , c_2 , c_3 such that

i)
$$\left| \mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_{\ell} - P] \right| \leq c_1 h_{\ell}^{\alpha}$$

ii)
$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{Y}_\ell] = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_0], & \ell = 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[\widehat{P}_\ell - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}], & \ell > 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

iii)
$$\mathbb{V}[\widehat{Y}_{\ell}] \leq c_2 N_{\ell}^{-1} h_{\ell}^{\beta}$$

iv)
$$C_{\ell} \le c_3 \, N_{\ell} \, h_{\ell}^{-1}$$

MLMC Theorem

then there exists a positive constant c_4 such that for any $\varepsilon < e^{-1}$ there are values L and N_ℓ for which the multilevel estimator

$$\widehat{Y} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \widehat{Y}_{\ell},$$

has Mean Square Error
$$MSE \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{Y} - \mathbb{E}[P]\right)^2\right] < \varepsilon^2$$

with a computational complexity C with bound

$$C \leq \begin{cases} c_4 \, \varepsilon^{-2}, & \beta > 1, \\ c_4 \, \varepsilon^{-2} (\log \varepsilon)^2, & \beta = 1, \\ c_4 \, \varepsilon^{-2 - (1 - \beta) / \alpha}, & 0 < \beta < 1. \\ \text{Multilevel numerical analysis - p. 4} \end{cases}$$

Numerical Analysis

If P is a Lipschitz function of S(T), value of underlying path simulation at a fixed time, the strong convergence property

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{S}_N - S(T))^2\right]\right)^{1/2} = O(h^{\gamma})$$

implies that $\mathbb{V}[\widehat{P}_{\ell}-P]=O(h_{\ell}^{2\gamma})$ and hence

$$V_{\ell} \equiv \mathbb{V}[\widehat{P}_{\ell} - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}] = O(h_{\ell}^{2\gamma}).$$

Therefore $\beta = 1$ for Euler-Maruyama discretisation, and $\beta = 2$ for the Milstein discretisation.

However, in general, good strong convergence is neither necessary nor sufficient for good convergence for V_{ℓ} .

Numerics and Analysis

	Euler		Milstein	
option	numerics	analysis	numerics	analysis
Lipschitz	O(h)	O(h)	$O(h^2)$	$O(h^2)$
Asian	O(h)	O(h)	$O(h^2)$	$O(h^2)$
lookback	O(h)	O(h)	$O(h^2)$	$o(h^{2-\delta})$
barrier	$O(h^{1/2})$	$o(h^{1/2-\delta})$	$O(h^{3/2})$	$o(h^{3/2-\delta})$
digital	$O(h^{1/2})$	$O(h^{1/2}\log h)$	$O(h^{3/2})$	$o(h^{3/2-\delta})$

Table: V_{ℓ} convergence observed numerically (for GBM) and proved analytically (for more general SDEs) for both the Euler and Milstein discretisations. δ can be any strictly positive constant.

Numerical Analysis

Analysis for Euler discretisations:

- lookback and barrier options: Giles, Higham & Mao
 (Finance & Stochastics, 2009)
 - lookback analysis follows from strong convergence
 - barrier analysis shows dominant contribution comes from paths which are near the barrier; uses asymptotic analysis, first proving that "extreme" paths have negligible contribution
 - similar analysis for digital options gives $O(h^{1/2-\delta})$ bound instead of $O(h^{1/2}\log h)$
- digital options: Avikainen (Finance & Stochastics, 2009)
 - method of analysis is quite different

Numerical Analysis

Analysis for Milstein discretisations:

- builds on approach in paper with Higham and Mao
- key idea is to use boundedness of all moments to bound the contribution to V_ℓ from "extreme" paths (e.g. for which $\max_n |\Delta W_n| > h^{1/2-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$)
- uses asymptotic analysis to bound the contribution from paths which are not "extreme"

MLMC Theorem allows different approximations on the coarse and fine levels:

$$\widehat{Y}_{\ell} = N_{\ell}^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\ell}} \left(\widehat{P}_{\ell}^{f}(\omega^{(n)}) - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}^{c}(\omega^{(n)}) \right)$$

The telescoping sum still works provided

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{P}_{\ell}^{f}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{P}_{\ell}^{c}\right].$$

The key is to exploit this freedom to reduce the variance

$$\mathbb{V}\left[\widehat{P}_{\ell}^f - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1}^c\right].$$

Fine path Brownian interpolation: within each timestep, model the behaviour as simple Brownian motion (constant drift and volatility) conditional on two end-points

$$\widehat{S}^f(t) = \widehat{S}_n^f + \lambda(t)(\widehat{S}_{n+1}^f - \widehat{S}_n^f) + b_n \left(W(t) - W_n - \lambda(t)(W_{n+1} - W_n) \right),$$

where
$$\lambda(t) = \frac{t - t_n}{t_{n+1} - t_n}$$
.

There then exist analytic results for the distribution of the min/max/average over each timestep, and probability of crossing a barrier.

Coarse path Brownian interpolation: exactly the same, but with double the timestep, so for even n

$$\widehat{S}^{c}(t) = \widehat{S}_{n}^{c} + \lambda(t)(\widehat{S}_{n+2}^{c} - \widehat{S}_{n}^{c}) + b_{n}\left(W(t) - W_{n} - \lambda(t)(W_{n+2} - W_{n})\right),$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{t - t_n}{t_{n+2} - t_n}$. Hence, in particular,

$$\widehat{S}_{n+1}^{c} \equiv \widehat{S}^{c}(t_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{S}_{n}^{c} + \widehat{S}_{n+2}^{c}) + b_{n} \left(W_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}(W_{n} + W_{n+2}) \right),$$

Theorem: Under standard conditions,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{[0,T]} \left| \widehat{S}(t) - S(t) \right|^m \right] = O((h \log h)^m),$$

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\widehat{S}(t) - S(t)\right|^m\right] = O(h^m),$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \widehat{S}(t) - S(t) \, dt\right)^2\right] = O(h^2).$$

The variance convergence for the Asian option comes directly from this.

Will now outline the analysis for the lookback option – the barrier is similar but more complicated.

The digital option is based on a Brownian extrapolation from one timestep before the end – the analysis is similar.

The analysis for the lookback, barrier and digital options uses the idea of "extreme" paths which are highly improbable – the variance comes mainly from non-extreme paths for which one can use asymptotic analysis.

Extreme Paths

Lemma: If X_{ℓ} is a random variable on level ℓ , and $\mathbb{E}[|X_{\ell}|^m] \leq C_m$ is uniformly bounded, then, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_{\ell}| > h_{\ell}^{-\delta}] = o(h_{\ell}^{p}), \qquad \forall p > 0.$$

Proof: Markov inequality $\mathbb{P}[|X_{\ell}|^m > h_{\ell}^{-m\delta}] < h_{\ell}^{-m\delta} \mathbb{E}[|X_{\ell}|^m]$.

Lemma: If Y_{ℓ} is a random variable on level ℓ , $\mathbb{E}[Y_{\ell}^2]$ is uniformly bounded, and the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\ell}}$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{E_{\ell}}] = o(h_{\ell}^p), \ \forall p > 0$ then

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_{\ell}|\mathbf{1}_{E_{\ell}}] = o(h_{\ell}^{p}), \qquad \forall p > 0.$$

Proof: Hölder inequality $\mathbb{E}[|Y_{\ell}| \mathbf{1}_{E_{\ell}}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y_{\ell}^2] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{E_{\ell}}]}$

Extreme Paths

Theorem: For any $\gamma > 0$, the probability that W(t), its increments ΔW_n and the corresponding SDE solution S(t) and approximations \widehat{S}_n^f and \widehat{S}_n^c satisfy any of the following "extreme" conditions

$$\max_{n} \left(\max(|S(nh)|, |\widehat{S}_{n}^{f}|, |\widehat{S}_{n}^{c}| \right) > h^{-\gamma}
\max_{n} \left(\max(|S(nh) - \widehat{S}_{n}^{c}|, |S(nh) - \widehat{S}_{n}^{f}|, |\widehat{S}_{n}^{f} - \widehat{S}_{n}^{c}| \right) \right) > h^{1-\gamma}
\max_{n} |\Delta W_{n}| > h^{1/2-\gamma}$$

is $o(h^p)$ for all p>0.

Non-extreme paths

Furthermore, there exist constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 such that if none of these conditions is satisfied, and $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\max_{n} |\widehat{S}_{n}^{f} - \widehat{S}_{n-1}^{f}| \leq c_{1} h^{1/2 - 2\gamma}$$

$$\max_{n} |b_{n}^{f} - b_{n-1}^{f}| \leq c_{2} h^{1/2 - 2\gamma}$$

$$\max_{n} (|b_{n}^{f}| + |b_{n}^{c}|) \leq c_{3} h^{-\gamma}$$

$$\max_{n} |b_{n}^{f} - b_{n}^{c}| \leq c_{4} h^{1/2 - 2\gamma}$$

where b_n^c is defined to equal b_{n-1}^c if n is odd.

Lookback Option

Lookback options are a Lipschitz function of the minimum over the whole simulation path.

For the fine path, the minimum over one timestep is

$$\widehat{S}_{n,min}^f = \frac{1}{2} \left(\widehat{S}_n^f + \widehat{S}_{n+1}^f - \sqrt{\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}^f - \widehat{S}_n^f \right)^2 - 2 \left(b_n^f \right)^2 h_\ell \log U_n} \right)$$

where U_m is a (0,1] uniform random variable.

For the coarse path, define \widehat{S}_n^c for odd n using conditional Brownian interpolation, then use the same expression for the minimum with same U_n – this doesn't change the distribution of the computed minimum over the coarse timestep, so the telescoping sum is OK.

Lookback Option

 $\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{P}_{\ell} - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1})^4]$ is bounded and therefore extreme paths have negligible contribution to $\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{P}_{\ell} - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1})^2]$.

For non-extreme paths, tedious asymptotic analysis gives

$$\left| \widehat{S}_{min}^f - \widehat{S}_{min}^c \right| \leq \max_{n} \left| \widehat{S}_{n,min}^f - \widehat{S}_{n,min}^c \right|$$
$$= o(h_{\ell}^{1 - 5\gamma/2})$$

and hence $\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{P}_\ell - \widehat{P}_{\ell-1})^2] = o(h_\ell^{2-\delta})$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Barrier and Digital Options

For barrier options, split paths into 3 subsets:

- extreme paths
- paths with a minimum within $O(h^{1/2-\gamma})$ of the barrier
- rest
- dominant contribution comes from the second subset.

For digital options, again split paths into 3 subsets:

- extreme paths
- paths with final S(T) within $O(h^{1/2-\gamma})$ of the strike
- rest
- dominant contribution again from the second subset.

Other numerical analysis

- multi-dimensional Milstein next talk by Lukas Szpruch
- multilevel scalar finite rate jump-diffusion, including path-dependent Poisson rate – Yuan Xia
 - algorithm and numerical results presented at MCQMC'10
 - numerical analysis completed, paper almost ready
- multilevel Greeks Sylvestre Burgos
 - algorithm and numerical results presented at MCQMC'10
 - numerical analysis in progress

Conclusions

- numerical analysis of multilevel variance achieves bounds which match numerical experiments for Milstein discretisation of scalar SDEs and all common payoffs
- Brownian interpolation is key to obtaining rapid convergence of the multilevel variance for complex payoffs
- excluding the significance of "extreme" paths and using asymptotic analysis for the rest is a non-standard approach to numerical analysis, but seems quite flexible

Multilevel papers are available from:

people.maths.ox.ac.uk/gilesm/mlmc.html

Paper on this work should be on ArXiv soon (finally!)